| | Case 1:23-cv-01088-SKO Document | 11 Filed 01/10/24 Page 1 of 2 |
|----|--|---|
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | JUAN OLGUIN-HERNANDEZ, | No. 1:23-cv-01088-SKO (HC) |
| 12 | Petitioner, | ORDER DIRECTING RESPONDENT TO PROVIDE DOCUMENTS |
| 13 | v. | |
| 14 | WARDEN, F.C.I. MENDOTA, | [14-DAY DEADLINE] |
| 15 | Respondent. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Petitioner is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with a petition for | |
| 18 | writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. On July 20, 2023, Petitioner filed the instant | |
| 19 | habeas petition. On October 24, 2023, Respondent filed a motion to dismiss the petition. The | |
| 20 | Court has reviewed the pleadings and finds that additional documentation is necessary. | |
| 21 | Petitioner contends that the Bureau of Prisons ("BOP") is excluding him from the | |
| 22 | application of First Step Act credits against his sentence solely because he has an immigration | |
| 23 | detainer lodged against him. Respondent counters that Petitioner is subject to a final order of | |
| 24 | removal and therefore ineligible under statute for application of First Step Act credits. See 18 | |
| 25 | U.S.C. § 3632(d)(4)(E). Petitioner alleges that the BOP is using a document as proof of a final | |
| 26 | order of removal, but the document contains false information and that he is only subject to a | |
| 27 | detainer. Petitioner claims that the BOP is representing that he is subject to a final order of | |
| 28 | removal where no formal final order of removal has been issued. | |
| | | 1 |

Case 1:23-cv-01088-SKO Document 11 Filed 01/10/24 Page 2 of 2

| | il . | |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | The Court has reviewed the documents lodged by Respondent, and in particular, | |
| 2 | Respondent's citation to the records purportedly indicating Petitioner is subject to a final order of | |
| 3 | removal. (Doc. 10, citing Appendix at 7.) The reference is to a copy of Sentry documents, | |
| 4 | specifically, a printout entitled "Sentencing Monitoring Display Detainers." (Doc. 10-1 at 8.) The | |
| 5 | document indicates that a detainer was lodged on June 15, 2023. Under "Charge/Remarks" for | |
| 6 | this detainer, the form indicates "Final Order of Removal." From this information alone, | |
| 7 | however, the Court cannot verify that Petitioner is subject to a final order of removal. A copy of | |
| 8 | the actual final order of removal is necessary. | |
| 9 | <u>ORDER</u> | |
| 10 | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent shall file a copy of the final | |
| 11 | order of removal within fourteen (14) days of the date of service of this Order. | |
| 12 | ATT NO CO ODDEDED | |
| 13 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 14 | Dated: January 10, 2024 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto | |
| 15 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |